

## 2015 Biennium Report on Internal Service and Enterprise Funds

Fund	Fund Name	Agency #	Agency Name	Program Name
06538	Air Operation Internal Service	57060	Department of Natural Resources and	Forestry/Trust Lands Division

	Actual FY10	Actual FY11	Actual FY12	Budgeted FY13	Budgeted FY14	Budgeted FY15
<b>Operating Revenues:</b>						
Fee revenue						
Revenue from Bell UH-1Hs	467,625	360,125	970,080	1,134,000	1,412,000	1,412,000
Revenue from Bell Jet Rangers	87,912	47,766	163,137	184,275	229,450	229,450
Revenue from Cessna 180 Series	43,365	30,345	67,785	99,225	123,550	123,550
Revenue from Fee E	-	-	-	-	-	-
Revenue from Fee F	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Fee Revenue	598,902	438,236	1,201,002	1,417,500	1,765,000	1,765,000
Investment Earnings	-	-	-	-	-	-
Securities Lending Income	-	-	-	-	-	-
Premiums	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Operating Revenues	1,236	934	-	-	-	-
Total Operating Revenue	600,139	439,169	1,201,002	1,417,500	1,765,000	1,765,000
<b>Operating Expenses:</b>						
Personal Services	1,120,672	1,178,476	1,098,777	1,130,242	1,137,551	1,137,886
Other Operating Expenses	820,335	659,201	1,096,445	858,244	1,267,516	1,271,364
Total Operating Expenses	1,941,006	1,837,676	2,195,222	1,988,486	2,405,067	2,409,250
Operating Income (Loss)	(1,340,868)	(1,398,507)	(994,220)	(570,986)	(640,067)	(644,250)
<b>Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses):</b>						
Gain (Loss) Sale of Fixed Assets	(2,123)	-	-	-	-	-
Federal Indirect Cost Recoveries	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Net Nonoperating Revenues (Expenses)	(2,123)	-	-	-	-	-
Income (Loss) Before Operating Transfers	(1,342,990)	(1,398,507)	(994,220)	(570,986)	(640,067)	(644,250)
Contributed Capital	-	-	-	-	-	-
Operating Transfers In (Note 13)	1,218,390	1,228,444	1,336,352	1,331,665	1,323,797	1,317,694
Operating Transfers Out (Note 13)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Change in net assets	(124,600)	(170,063)	342,132	760,679	683,730	673,444
Total Net Assets- July 1 - As Restated	322,542	197,942	27,879	370,010	1,130,689	1,814,419
Prior Period Adjustments	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative effect of account change	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total Net Assets - July 1 - As Restated	322,542	197,942	27,879	370,010	1,130,689	1,814,419
Net Assets- June 30	197,942	27,879	370,010	1,130,689	1,814,419	2,487,863
60 days of expenses (Total Operating Expenses divided by 6)	323,501	306,279	365,870	331,414	400,845	401,542
Working Capital (Per State Acctg Div.)	225,143	61,162	385,220			

Requested Rates for Internal Service Funds Fee/Rate Information for Legislative Action						
	Actual FY 10	Actual FY 11	Actual FY 12	Actual FY 13	Budgeted FY 14	Budgeted FY 15
Fee Group A						
Bell UH-1H Helicopter	1,075.00	1,075.00	1,075.00	1,075.00	1,225.00	1,225.00
Bell Jet Ranger Helicopter	475.00	475.00	475.00	475.00	515.00	515.00
Cessna 180 Fixed Wing	150.00	150.00	150.00	150.00	175.00	175.00

**Rate Explanation:** Propose to increase flight rates for DNRC operated aircraft to meet increased costs of fuel and replacement parts. All funds are managed through an internal proprietary account to fund the operational costs of the program. Current rates are: UH-1H \$1,075/hr., Jet Ranger \$475/hr. Cessna \$150/hr. Propose to raise rates for UH-1H to \$1,225/hr., Jet Ranger to \$515/hr., and Cessna to \$175/hr.

1000000

1000000

1000000

1000000



Department of Agriculture  
FY 2012 Vacant Position Summary

	Program 15 - Central Services Division	Program 30 - Ag Sciences Division	Program 50 - Ag Development Division	Department
<b>Total positions</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>67</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>129</b>
<b>Total FTE authorized</b>	<b>14.87</b>	<b>65.78</b>	<b>38.75</b>	<b>119.40</b>
<b>Vacancy stated as FTE</b>	<b>0.00</b>	<b>6.42</b>	<b>9.33</b>	<b>15.75</b>
due to turnover/recruitment	0.00	4.44	1.00	5.44
due to seasonal	0.00	1.05	8.33	9.38
due to other *	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.93

seasonal positions in Ag Sciences: Potato Inspectors and Pesticide Container Recycling Driver  
seasonal positions in Ag Development Division: Hail Insurance Adjusters, Grain Inspectors

\* other vacancy: this position did not use 100% of its fte in 2012 because a part-time employee is assisting us while we decide how to address GIS issues in the department.

<b>FTE Authorized and Filled</b>
----------------------------------

**Base**

<u>FISCAL YEAR</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>FILLED</u>
2010	685.36	655.34
2011	685.36	643.05
2012	693.61	625.25

**Proprietary**

<u>FISCAL YEAR</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>FILLED</u>
2010	4.56	4.56
2011	4.56	4.24
2012	4.56	3.75

**Statutory**

<u>FISCAL YEAR</u>	<u>AUTHORIZED</u>	<u>FILLED</u>
2010	15.09	14.68
2011	15.09	13.96
2012	14.16	12.15



**Department of Fish, Wildlife and Parks**  
**2013 Session House Bill 2**  
**Statewide Present Law Adjustments to Personal Services**

	<b>FY14</b>	<b>FY15</b>
<b>Program 01 - Information Services</b>		
Market Pay Adjustments	\$214,186	\$215,877
Longevity, Benefits & Reclassifications	\$36,867	\$36,867
	<u>\$251,053</u>	<u>\$252,744</u>
<b>Program 03 - Fisheries</b>		
Market Pay Adjustments	\$623,496	\$623,497
Longevity, Benefits & Reclassifications	\$209,298	\$218,992
Yellowstone Pipeline Spill	\$66,721	\$66,721
Charged to Federal Funds (BA)	\$511,745	\$511,745
Turnover/vacancies	\$191,981	\$191,981
	<u>\$1,603,241</u>	<u>\$1,612,936</u>
<b>Program 04 - Enforcement</b>		
Market Pay Adjustments	\$69,602	\$76,967
Longevity, Benefits & Reclassifications	\$48,787	\$48,787
Yellowstone Pipeline Spill	\$29,132	\$29,132
	<u>\$147,521</u>	<u>\$154,886</u>
<b>Program 05 - Wildlife</b>		
Market Pay Adjustments	\$394,248	\$401,446
Longevity, Benefits & Reclassifications	\$233,775	\$233,775
Yellowstone Pipeline Spill	\$36,508	\$36,508
Turnover/vacancies	\$579,808	\$579,808
	<u>\$1,244,339</u>	<u>\$1,251,537</u>
<b>Program 06 - Parks</b>		
Market Pay Adjustments	\$337,856	\$337,817
Longevity, Benefits & Reclassifications	\$156,188	\$165,244
Turnover/vacancies	\$32,900	\$32,900
	<u>\$526,944</u>	<u>\$535,961</u>
<b>Program 08 - Communication &amp; Education</b>		
Market Pay Adjustments	\$60,847	\$61,410
Longevity, Benefits & Reclassifications	\$12,135	\$12,135
Yellowstone Pipeline Spill	\$15,203	\$15,203
Turnover/vacancies	\$33,220	\$33,220
	<u>\$121,405</u>	<u>\$121,968</u>
<b>Program 09 - Management &amp; Finance</b>		
Market Pay Adjustments	\$349,772	\$354,557
Longevity, Benefits & Reclassifications	\$100,380	\$100,380
Turnover/vacancies	\$34,834	\$34,834
	<u>\$484,986</u>	<u>\$489,771</u>
<b>Program 12 - Fish &amp; Wildlife Administration</b>		
Market Pay Adjustments	\$143,137	\$146,388
Longevity, Benefits & Reclassifications	\$77,296	\$77,296
Yellowstone Pipeline Spill	\$19,311	\$19,311
	<u>\$239,744</u>	<u>\$242,995</u>
<b>Agency Total</b>	<u><b>\$4,619,233</b></u>	<u><b>\$4,662,798</b></u>

**Duplicating Center Rates:**

<u>Service</u>	<u>Actual 2012</u>	<u>Actual 2013</u>	<u>Proposed 2014</u>	<u>Proposed 2015</u>
<b>Duplicating</b>				
1-20 copies	\$0.065	\$0.070	\$0.070	\$0.075
21-100 copies	\$0.055	\$0.055	\$0.055	\$0.060
101-1,000 copies	\$0.045	\$0.050	\$0.050	\$0.056
1,001- 5,000 copies	\$0.040	\$0.045	\$0.045	\$0.050
Color Copies	\$0.25	\$0.25	\$0.25	\$0.25
<b>Bindery</b>				
Collating (per sheet)	\$0.010	\$0.010	\$0.010	\$0.010
Hand Stapling (per set)	\$0.020	\$0.020	\$0.020	\$0.020
Saddle Stitch (per setz)	\$0.035	\$0.035	\$0.035	\$0.035
Folding (per sheet)	\$0.010	\$0.010	\$0.010	\$0.010
Punching (per sheet)	\$0.005	\$0.005	\$0.005	\$0.005
Cutting (per minute)	\$0.600	\$0.600	\$0.600	\$0.600

**Vehicle Fleet Rates:**

<u>Vehicle</u>	<u>Actual 2012</u>	<u>Actual 2013</u>	<u>Proposed 2014</u>	<u>Proposed 2015</u>
Sedans (per mile)	\$0.46	\$0.46	\$0.46	\$0.46
Vans (per mile)	\$0.53	\$0.53	\$0.53	\$0.53
Utility (SUV)(per mile)	\$0.58	\$0.58	\$0.58	\$0.58
Pickup ½ ton (per mile)	\$0.53	\$0.53	\$0.53	\$0.53
Pickup ¾ ton (per mile)	\$0.61	\$0.61	\$0.61	\$0.61

**Supply Warehouse Rates:**

	<u>Actual 2012</u>	<u>Actual 2013</u>	<u>Proposed 2014</u>	<u>Proposed 2015</u>
Rate	24%	24%	25%	25%

**Aircraft Unit Rates:**

<u>Description</u>	<u>Actual 2012</u>	<u>Actual 2013</u>	<u>Proposed 2014</u>	<u>Proposed 2015</u>
Single Engine	\$108.07	\$108.07	\$150.00	\$150.00
Partnavia	\$514.56	\$514.56	\$500.00	\$500.00
Turbine Helicopters	\$576.10	\$576.10	\$500.00	\$500.00



## **FY 2013 RECREATIONAL TRAILS PROGRAM GRANTS**

### **RECORD OF DECISION**

**December 13, 2012**

#### **Recreational Trails Program Authority and Scope**

The Recreational Trails Program (RTP) provides funds for development, renovation, maintenance and acquisition of land for recreational trails and for trail related safety education and information. Section 206 of Title 23, United States Code, establishes RTP as a federal aid program. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) administers this program at the federal level [23 U.S.C. 206 (b)] while Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks (FWP) is charged with program administration at the state level [23 U.S.C 206 (c) and designation by the Governor].

The Montana Legislature approved authority to expend \$1,440,000 for RTP grants in FY2013. The U.S. Congress recently passed the transportation bill—Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Act (MAP 21). We have based our FY2013 grant recommendations with the assumption that the 2013 appropriations will be equal to the FY 2009 amount. MSP staff met with the State Trails Advisory Committee on November 07, 2012 to receive their input.

**RTP Grant Awards.** We presume that RTP will receive federal fund allocations totaling 1.32 million dollars for fiscal year FY2013.

After allowing for federal rescissions, indirect costs, and money from previous years to be reallocated, staff predicts that \$1,320,000 may be available for RTP from Montana's FY 2013 apportionment. After administrative costs (7% overhead) are deducted from Montana's FY 2013 apportionment, \$1,227,600 will be available for grant awards. Once Montana's official FY2013 apportionment is announced, Table 1 (attached) will be modified proportionately to reflect the exact amounts awarded to each grant sponsor from FY2013 RTP funds.

#### **Application Process and Environmental Review**

MSP received grant applications with requests totaling \$2,869,328. MSP received 69 applications with grant requests totaling \$2,869,328. All of the 69 original applications received met technical requirements and were declared eligible for funding. Of the 69 applications, 51 scored high enough to merit funding. The State Trails Advisory Committee (STAC)

recommended funding 51 projects. These recommendations, with which MSP concurs, were based on technical eligibility, application scoring, and funding availability. MSP posted the list of grant applicants on the Internet and announced a 30-day period for public comment. Grant applications were made available in the Trails Program Office for interested parties. This public comment period ran from August 29 to September 29, 2012.

All successful applicants satisfied environmental reviews for both the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the Montana Environmental Policy Act (MEPA).

Three changes to our grant application procedures relative to environmental compliance were made in 2011. 1) The first was a legislative action, in which House Bill 225 was passed and signed into law. This law exempts Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks from environmental compliance when it provides funding assistance to a 'snowmobile area operator.' The only exception is when a proposed grooming project has not been previously subjected to environmental review or when there is a change to the action or the use of the award. In short, most snowmobile clubs were not required to submit MEPA environmental analyses in applications to the Recreational Trails Program. Other types of projects that are exempted from completion of an environmental review: ethics or safety education; brochures; and, portable exhibits and displays. 2) MSP no longer requires Wildlife Review Forms, Fisheries Review Forms or Weed Plans. 3) Project applicants can "tier" from an approved federal environmental analysis.

Because federal and state agencies are required to coordinate with each other and each may 'tier to' or adopt by reference the other's approved environmental review, RTP grant applicants with projects occurring on U.S. Forest Service (USFS) or other federal property, can now often satisfy MEPA requirements "by reference." This new process is limited to projects that have been cleared by the USFS and other federal agencies because the NEPA analysis has already been completed or because the category of work to be conducted is covered by a NEPA categorical exclusion.

Applicants that do not fall under the category of 'snowmobile area operator', were still required to submit appropriate environmental review documents. For most applicants, the MEPA/NEPA Environmental Checklist was submitted.

Completed environmental analyses were required for all projects and NEPA compliance was documented for projects on federal lands. All successful applications involving on-ground disturbances also included documentation of public scoping. The major categories for which impacts were reviewed include the following:

**Soils:** Maintenance and renovations of existing trails will have immediate short-term negative impacts during the time of on-ground maintenance, but will result in long-term positive impacts. Soil erosion will be reduced and water runoff will be diverted gradually off trail surfaces.

**Vegetation:** The primary potential impact from trail projects is from import and spread of noxious weeds. There are always potential impacts to threatened-and endangered plant species; however, no serious effects were noted.

**Water Quality, Hydrology, Fisheries:** Maintenance and renovation of existing trails will have immediate short-term negative impacts because of disturbance of soils during the "construction" phase, with potential for localized run-off and sedimentation. However, the long-term impact of projects included in this year's grant program that reduce or mitigate impacts to soil and vegetation resources should have positive impacts.

**Wildlife:** Almost any type of unusual or intermittent disturbance, including trails and trail use, can have a negative effect on wildlife species and perhaps wildlife habitat. Environmental reviews completed for grant projects analyzed these potential impacts and the effects were determined to be minimal.

**Land Use:** FY2013 RTP grant projects will not change the existing types of use on those trails affected as noted in applications by the land managers.

**Economic Impacts:** Numerous studies conclude that public trails benefit local economies in a number of ways (Moore and Barthlow 1998, New Hampshire Office of State Planning 1997 et al). A strong trail system both helps preserve natural amenities and provides greater opportunities for enjoying them. Outdoor recreation and trails in particular, are significant economic generators that attract businesses, draw tourism, create jobs, and increase property values. Trails can also result in negative economic impacts. An example includes the spread of noxious weeds. Projects included in this year's program should have minor positive economic impacts.

**Outdoor Recreation Impacts:** The FY2013 RTP grant projects should have minor positive impacts on outdoor recreation. Maintenance and renovation of trail systems will enhance user's experience while protecting resources. The opportunity for public comment through the grant application process should help reduce the possibility of funding projects of questionable merit or with potential to increase conflict.

**Cultural Resources:** No negative impacts on cultural resources were identified in the individual project environmental review process or through public scoping.

**Cumulative and Irreversible Impacts**

No significant cumulative or irreversible impacts are anticipated from FY2013 RTP grant projects.

### Public Comment Summary

During the public comment period, MSP received 27 comments overall; all comments were submitted through the online public comment page on the FWP website.

- 22 comments were submitted in support of the Malta Trails Inc. grant application requesting funds for trail construction providing a non-motorized trail corridor through the community of Malta.
- Two comments were in support of the Silver Crest Trails Association grant application to fund grooming operations and continue maintenance of existing ski/snowshoe trails.
- One comment was received in support of Prickly Pear Land Trust's grant application to acquire land to further develop and maintain the South Hills Trail System.
- One comment was opposed to the Bridger Ski Foundation grant application that requests funding for grooming equipment, grooming operations and maintenance of trails throughout the Gallatin Valley area. The comment and the Club's response follows:

### Opposition Comment:

*Name: Paul Griffin*

*2013 RTP Grant Applications - Comments concerning 04868 Community Nordic Trails in Gallatin County*

*Topic: Resource Protection and Enhancement - Bozeman Creek*

*Bozeman Creek is the source of 40% of the city drinking water and is a typical steep sided mountain drainage. The first one mile of trail and most heavily used section abuts the stream. Grooming the trail increases the use especially by dog walkers.*

*Dog waste is a problem due to the lack of compliance by owners. (personal observation) Grant application provides no evidence of the considerable scope of the problem.*

*See document: EPA - F-01-027*

*Pets should not be walked near streams, ponds, or lakes. Stream banks should not be part of the normal territory of animals. Instead, walk pets in grassy areas, parks, or undeveloped areas. Pet wastes left on sidewalks, streets, or other paved and hard surfaces are readily carried by storm water into streams.*

*Pet wastes should be kept out of street gutters and storm drains.*

*Winter Range: The drainages facing Bozeman are generally lower than the adjacent mountains to the South and provide significant winter range for elk, deer and moose. The expansion of*

winter recreation to the adjacent drainages/areas; Bear Canyon, Mt. Ellis (mostly state forest land), Bozeman Creek, Hyalite Creek is having a significant cumulative impact on stressed big game on winter range.

Paul Griffin

Club's Response:

*Bridger Ski Foundation-USFS Letter of Response:*


*This letter is meant to address concerns voiced by a commenter to the Bridger Ski Foundation Application for the Recreational Trail Program 2013 Grant.*

*Regarding the first issue of pet (Dog) use of the Bozeman Creek watershed, Bridger Ski Foundation has not had any contact with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regarding this issue. In discussing this issue with Lisa Stoeffler, Bozeman District Ranger for the Gallatin National Forest, she said that the EPA document mentioned has been addressed by adding Dog Stations at trails heads (in this case provided by Gallatin Valley Land Trust) and by encouraging people to pick up their dog waste. Additionally, as part of our annual trail clearing and enhancement work in the Sourdough Canyon/ Bozeman Creek drainage this fall, Bridger Ski Foundation picked up over 40 lbs of dog waste that we found by the trail. It should also be mentioned that the inlet for water used by the City of Bozeman for the downstream drinking water plant, is almost a mile above the entry gate to the Sourdough Canyon trailhead. This first mile of the trail (actually a dirt road for official vehicles only) is the only part of the trail which is right next to Bozeman Creek. Above the water inlet, the road is quite separate from the creek and few if any dogs go near it. Even considering that rain and snow melt do move material from the road down to the creek, any dog waste along the road would be dispersed and degraded considerably before reaching the creek. Anecdotally, though I do not know of a formal study addressing this claim, old-timers say that 40 years ago elk herds spent a great deal of time in this basin and the elk waste caused much pollution to the stream effecting water quality for the City. Well before the Bridger Ski Foundation started grooming this road, the use of this canyon in summer and winter for recreation was so high that elk have been much less prevalent in the lower parts of Sourdough Canyon near Bozeman Creek and thus have had less detrimental effect on water quality. Part of the reason that the City of Bozeman has not objected to dogs on this trail is that the effect on the water quality has not been detrimental.*

*Regarding the issue of the effect of winter recreation on wildlife in this area, Lisa Stoeffler, Bozeman District Ranger for the Gallatin National Forest, told me that this issue had been thoroughly addressed and analyzed in preparing the Gallatin Travel Plan and that plan specifically contemplates groomed skiing on this trail. The Forest Service looked at uses, cumulative effects, wildlife habitat, and grooming. Grooming and skiing use in Bozeman Creek is consistent with the uses approved in the travel plan and the analysis done there. She said that no resource standards were exceeded in approving these uses. Though Sourdough Canyon is a very heavily used recreation area in both summer and winter, the use is primarily on the trail (road) and is less impactful to wildlife than uses such as hunting which extends significantly beyond the trail area. It is an unfortunate effect of population growth and the need for more recreational sources that wildlife will be impacted near the Bozeman metropolitan area. Most users are very respectful of wildlife and our groomers are careful and cognizant that we are sharing this resource with wildlife.*

**Decision:**

The decision of Montana State Parks, a division of Montana Fish, Wildlife & Parks is to approve grants to successful sponsors for those projects included in Table 1.

 01/23/12  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Chas Van Genderen, Administrator  
Montana State Parks

Project Name		Amount
1	Absaroka Beartooth Wilderness Foundation	\$9,149
2	Arlee Community Development Corporation	\$27,000
3	Beartooth Billings Clinic	\$30,310
4	Bikenet	\$14,000
5	Billings, City of	\$27,000
6	Bitterroot Ridgerunners	\$16,200
7	Bob Marshall Wilderness Foundation	\$40,500
8	Bridger Ski Foundation	\$12,000
9	Flathead Snowmobile Association	\$40,500
10	Flathead Valley Community College	\$40,500
11	Foy's to Blacktail Trails Inc.	\$31,500
12	Friends of Makoshika	\$27,000
13	Friends of Pipestone	\$7,560
14	Gallatin Valley Land Trust	\$27,000
15	Great Falls Trail Bike Riders Association	\$21,856
16	Helena, City of	\$27,000
17	Highwood Commercial Club	\$90,000
18	Kalispell, City of	\$11,819
19	Kings Hill Grooming Association	\$23,817
20	Lewistown, City Of	\$40,500
21	Madison County	\$40,500
22	Malta Trails Inc.	\$31,500
23	Missoula Nordic Ski Club	\$18,000
24	Missoula Snowgoers Snowmobile Association	\$31,500
25	Montana Trail Vehicle Riders Association	\$7,920
26	Montana Wilderness Association	\$24,592
27	North Shore Nordic Club	\$11,988
28	Ponderosa Snow Warriors	\$32,400
29	Preservation Cascade Inc. (PCI)	\$27,000
30	Rails to Trails NW Montana	\$18,300
31	Seeley Lake Nordic Ski Club	\$17,996

32	Seeley Lake Drifters	Grooming and Maintenance	\$27,000
33	Shelby, City of	Roadrunner Recreation Trail	\$8,100
34	Silver Crest Trails Association	Silver Crest Ski Trails Grooming and Maintenance Program	\$17,264
35	Smith River Habitat Project	Smith River Trail Maintenance and Weed Control Project	\$31,500
36	Smith River State Park	Smith River Ranger	\$30,600
37	Sunburst Community Service Foundation	Enhancing the Kootenai Trail	\$2,868
38	Swan Ecosystem Center	Recreational Trails Program	\$27,000
39	Three Forks, City of	Headwaters Trail System, Three Forks to Logan Rail to Trail	\$27,000
40	Travelers' Rest State Park	Travelers' Rest State Park Trail System	\$27,000
41	Troy Snowmobile Club	Trail Grooming & Maintenance	\$4,320
42	USFS-Beaverhead-Deerlodge N.F.-Butte Ranger District	Butte and Jefferson Ranger District Trail Maintenance	\$31,304
44	USFS-Bozeman Ranger District	2013 Bozeman Backcountry Summer/Winter Trail Stewards Program	\$25,920
43	USFS-Flathead National Forest	Avalanche Education and Snowmobile Trail Steward Program	\$27,000
46	USFS-Gallatin National Forest Avalanche Center	Saving Lives through Avalanche Education and Information	\$31,500
47	USFS-Gardiner Ranger District	Absaroka-Beartooth Wilderness Summer Trail and Winter Snow Ranger Stewardship Program	\$26,400
45	USFS-Hebgen Lake Ranger District	2013 Hebgen Backcountry Trail Steward	\$18,576
48	USFS-Yellowstone Ranger District	Yellowstone Ranger District Trail Stewards Project	\$37,665
49	West Central Montana Avalanche Foundation	Avalanche Awareness and Education	\$12,000
50	West Yellowstone Chamber of Commerce	Hebgen Lake Basin Snowmobile Trail Grooming and Maintenance	\$40,500
51	West Yellowstone Economic Development Council	Big Sky Snowmobile Trail Relocation and Bridge Construction	\$27,000
52	West Yellowstone Ski Education Foundation	Rendezvous Ski Trail System Grooming and Maintenance Program	\$40,500
53	Whitefish Lake Institute	Averill's Viking Creek Wetland Preserve: Interpretive Nature Trail Phase III	\$22,270
54	Yellowstone National Park, Backcountry Trails	Buffalo Plateau Trail Restoration Project	\$21,443